

## Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 STATE 045403

61

ORIGIN EB-11

INFO OCT-01 EUR-25 ISO-00 SS-20 PM-07 MC-02 RSC-01 /067 R

DRAFTED BY EB/ITP/EWT:JMGREGORY, JR.:MAR

APPROVED BY EB/ITP/EWT:RBWRIGHT

RPE

----- 012592

R 062251Z MAR 74

FM SECSTATE WASHDC

TO AMEMBASSY THE HAGUE

INFO USMISSION OECD PARIS

C O N F I D E N T I A L STATE 045403

STADIS//////////

EXCON

EO 11652: XGDS-1

TAGS: ESTC, COCOM, NL

SUBJ: NETHERLANDS CLEARANCE REQUEST (73)2156

REF : THE HAGUE 1092

1. IN ACTUALITY THE CASE IN QUESTION IS FOR AN ELECTRIC RAILWAY EQUIPMENT MANUFACTURER IN PRAGUE, AND THEREIN LIES OUR DIFFICULTY IN OBTAINING INTERAGENCY APPROVAL. THUS FAR, POLAND AND ROMANIA HAVE BEEN THE PRIME BENEFICIARIES OF EXPORTS OF DIFFUSION FURNACES WHILE THE USSR AND THE OTHER EASTERN EUROPEAN COUNTRIES HAVE BEEN KEPT WITH FEW EXCEPTIONS FROM OBTAINING SUCH EQUIPMENT WHICH IS BASIC TO THE PROCESSING OF THE WAFERS USED IN PRODUCING THE LATEST LARGE SCALE INTEGRATED CIRCUITS. IN ADVERTISING SUCH CIRCUITS ARE OFTEN DESCRIBED AS A "COMPUTER ON A CHIP". IN THE NETHERLANDS REQUEST, HOWEVER, THE END USE FOR THE FURNACE IS TO BE FOR PROCESSING SILICON TO BE USED IN POWER DIODES WHICH ARE THEMSELVES UNEMBARGOED AND REPRESENT A LOW ORDER OF TECHNOLOGY.

2. MR. NIEULAND'S DESCRIPTION OF THE COCOM RECORD OF  
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 STATE 045403

APPROVAL FOR US EXCEPTIONS REQUESTS AS WELL AS HIS ANALYSIS

OF THE IMPLICATIONS OF US DENIAL OF THE NETHERLANDS CASE IN COCOM UNLESS IT WERE CLEARLY JUSTIFIED IS CORRECT AND STRIKES PRECISELY AT THE REASONS FOR OUR DELAY IN TAKING A POSITION IN COCOM. WE HAVE RECEIVED ADVICE FROM THE OTHER AGENCIES TO OBJECT TO THE CASE BUT THEY HAVE NOT PROVIDED SPECIFIC GROUNDS FOR DENIAL WHICH, IN THE LIGHT OF THE US RECORD FOR SIMILAR CASES, COULD APPEAR REASONABLE OR NON-DISCRIMINATORY TO THE DUTCH OR ANY OF THE OTHER PC'S.

3. WE WOULD APPRECIATE YOUR REPEATING REFTEL (WITH CORRECTED COUNTRY DESTINATION) THROUGH NORMAL CHANNELS IN ORDER THAT WE MAY HAVE THE BENEFIT OF ADDING DUTCH AND EMBASSY VIEWS IN RESOLVING THIS QUESTION.

4. THE EMBASSY SHOULD NOTE THAT THE POINT OF VIEW EXPRESSED IN ITS 1091 IS CONTRADICTORY TO THAT IN THE REFTEL. HOWEVER, WE RECOGNIZE THE FORMER EXPRESSES THE IDEAL WHILE THE LATTER DEALS WITH A PRACTICAL REALITY.

5. MEANWHILE THE EMBASSY MAY WISH MERELY TO ACKNOWLEDGE TO MR. NIEULAND THAT WE ARE GIVING HIS COMMENTS CLOSE ATTENTION AND WILL DO OUR BEST TO CONCLUDE THE MATTER AS SOON AS POSSIBLE. KISSINGER

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptioning:** Z  
**Capture Date:** 01 JAN 1994  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT, STRATEGIC TRADE CONTROLS, EXCEPTIONS LIST, RAILROADS  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Draft Date:** 06 MAR 1974  
**Decaption Date:** 28 MAY 2004  
**Decaption Note:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Action:** RELEASED  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Authority:** kelleyw0  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Date:** 28 MAY 2004  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1974STATE045403  
**Document Source:** CORE  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** JMGREGORY, JR.:MAR  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** X1  
**Errors:** N/A  
**Film Number:** n/a  
**From:** STATE  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1974/newtext/t19740363/aaaacgjt.tel  
**Line Count:** 84  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE  
**Office:** ORIGIN EB  
**Original Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** STADIS  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 2  
**Previous Channel Indicators:**  
**Previous Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** STADIS  
**Reference:** THE HAGUE 1092  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Authority:** kelleyw0  
**Review Comment:** n/a  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 24 SEP 2002  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review History:** WITHDRAWN <22 APR 2002 by ifshinsr, 3.4.X9>; RELEASED <24 SEP 2002 by kelleyw0>; APPROVED <24-Sep-2002 by kelleyw0>  
**Review Markings:**

Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
30 JUN 2005

**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Referrals:** n/a  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** NATIVE  
**Subject:** NETHERLANDS CLEARANCE REQUEST (73)2156  
**TAGS:** ESTC, NL, COCOM  
**To:** THE HAGUE  
**Type:** TE  
**Markings:** Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005